Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# 3,4-Dimethylanilinium 4-methylbenzenesulfonate

#### Shi Juan Wang

Department of Applied Chemistry, Nanjing College of Chemical Technology, Nanjing 210048, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: wsj@njcc.edu.cn

Received 6 September 2011; accepted 22 September 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.005 Å; R factor = 0.082; wR factor = 0.229; data-to-parameter ratio = 19.0.

In the crystal structure of the title compound,  $C_8H_{12}N^+$ .- $C_7H_7O_3S^-$ ,  $N-H_{\cdots}O$  hydrogen bonds link the cations and anions into ribbons parallel to the *c* axis.  $N-H_{\cdots}S$  interactions also occur.

### **Related literature**

For background to protonated amines, see: Tong & Whitesell (1998); Shanker (1994). For closely related structures, see: Hemissi *et al.* (2001); Bouacida (2008); Singh *et al.* (2002).



#### Experimental

Crystal data  $C_8H_{12}N^+C_7H_7O_3S^-M_r = 293.37$ 

Monoclinic,  $P2_1/n$ *a* = 12.373 (3) Å

b = 7.3011 (15)  Å	
c = 17.556 (4) Å	
$\beta = 106.88 \ (3)^{\circ}$	
V = 1517.7 (5) Å <sup>3</sup>	
Z = 4	

#### Data collection

Rigaku Mercury2 diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (*CrystalClear*; Rigaku, 2005)  $T_{\min} = 0.825, T_{\max} = 1.000$ 

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.082$  $wR(F^2) = 0.229$ S = 1.053434 reflections 181 parameters Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\mu = 0.22 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 293 K $0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$ 

14838 measured reflections 3434 independent reflections 2608 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.046$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} 1 \mbox{ restraint} \\ \mbox{H-atom parameters constrained} \\ \Delta \rho_{max} = 0.71 \mbox{ e } \mbox{ } \mbox{$ 

# Table 1Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$N1-H1A\cdotsO1^{i}$	0.89	2.13	2.854 (4)	137
$N1-H1A\cdotsS1^{i}$	0.89	2.94	3.794 (3)	161
$N1 - H1B \cdots O1^{ii}$ $N1 - H1C \cdots O2$	0.89	1.89	2.777 (4)	175
	0.89	2.01	2.773 (4)	143

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z; (ii) x, y + 1, z.

Data collection: *CrystalClear* (Rigaku, 2005); cell refinement: *CrystalClear*; data reduction: *CrystalClear*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: JH2327).

#### References

- Bouacida, S. (2008). PhD thesis, Montouri-Constantine University, Algeria. Hemissi, H., Abid, S. & Rzaigui, M. (2001). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct., 216, 431–432.
- Rigaku (2005). CrystalClear. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.

Shanker, R. (1994). *Pharmaceut. Res.* A11, S–236.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112–122.
- Singh, G., Kapoor, I. P. S., Srivastava, J. & Kaur, J. (2002). J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 69, 681–691.
- Tong, W. & Whitesell, G. (1998). Pharm. Dev. Technol, 3, 215-223.

supplementary materials

#### Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, o2780 [doi:10.1107/S160053681103892X]

## 3,4-Dimethylanilinium 4-methylbenzenesulfonate

### S. J. Wang

#### Comment

The title compound, was prepared as part of our ongoing studies of hydrogen-bonding interactions in the crystal structure of protonated amines. The importance of molecular salts as solid forms in pharmaceutical formulations is well known. For a given active ingredient, the isolation and selection of a salt with the appropriate physicochemical properties involves significant screening activity and has been discussed at some length in the literature (Tong & Whitesell *et al.* 1998; Shanker *et al.* 1994). Structures containing the dimethylanilinium cation have been already reported with tin chloride (Bouacida *et al.* 2008), sulfate (Singh *et al.* 2002), and dihydrogenphosphate. Here we report the synthesis and crystal structure of the title compound, 3,4-dimethylanilinium 4-methylbenzenesulfonate (Fig. 1).

The bond distances and bond angles in the title compound agree very well with the corresponding distances and angles reported for a closely related compound (Hemissi *et al.* 2001). In this structure, only one type of classical hydrogen bonds are observed, *viz.* cation–anion (Table 1). All three ammonium H atoms are involved in hydrogen bonds. These interactions result in the formation of cation-anion ribbons along c direction. Dipole-dipole and van der Waals interactions are effective in the molecular packing.

#### Experimental

To a stirred solution of 3,4-dimethylbenzenamine (2.42 g, 0.02 mol) in 30 mL of methanol, 4-Toluene sulfonic acid (3.8 g, 0.02 mol) was added at the room temperature. The precipitate was filtered and washed with a small amount of ethanol 95%. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained from slow evaporation of a solution of the title compound in water at room temperature.

#### Refinement

The H-atoms bonded to the C-atom were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model, with C—H = 0.93-0.96 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ . The H-atoms bonded to the N-atom were located from a difference map and were allowed to refine freely.

#### **Figures**



Fig. 1. Perspective structure of the title compound, showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.



Fig. 2. The crystal packing of the title compound viewed along the c axis showing the hydrogen bondings network.

# 3,4-Dimethylanilinium 4-methylbenzenesulfonate

Crystal data

$C_8H_{12}N^+C_7H_7O_3S^-$	F(000) = 624
$M_r = 293.37$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.284 {\rm ~Mg~m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	Mo K $\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Hall symbol: -P 2yn	Cell parameters from 3434 reflections
a = 12.373 (3)  Å	$\theta = 2.6 - 27.4^{\circ}$
b = 7.3011 (15)  Å	$\mu = 0.22 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 17.556 (4) Å	T = 293  K
$\beta = 106.88 \ (3)^{\circ}$	Prism, colorless
$V = 1517.7 (5) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.20\times0.20\times0.20\ mm$
Z = 4	

# Data collection

Rigaku Mercury2 diffractometer	3434 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	2608 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.046$
Detector resolution: 13.6612 pixels mm <sup>-1</sup>	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.4^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 3.0^{\circ}$
CCD_Profile_fitting scans	$h = -15 \rightarrow 15$
Absorption correction: multi-scan ( <i>CrystalClear</i> ; Rigaku, 2005)	$k = -9 \rightarrow 9$
$T_{\min} = 0.825, T_{\max} = 1.000$	$l = -22 \rightarrow 22$
14838 measured reflections	

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Least-squares matrix: full	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.082$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$wR(F^2) = 0.229$	H-atom parameters constrained
<i>S</i> = 1.05	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.123P)^2 + 1.5301P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
3434 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
181 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.71 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
1 restraint	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.71 \ e \ \text{\AA}^{-3}$

## Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(A^2)$ 

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$
S1	0.32958 (7)	0.27384 (11)	0.06233 (5)	0.0414 (3)
N1	0.4796 (2)	0.7784 (4)	0.07083 (15)	0.0429 (6)
H1A	0.5143	0.7406	0.0358	0.064*
H1B	0.4597	0.8952	0.0615	0.064*
H1C	0.4182	0.7105	0.0661	0.064*
C3	0.5882 (3)	0.7845 (4)	0.29494 (18)	0.0386 (7)
C4	0.6969 (3)	0.7113 (4)	0.30705 (18)	0.0413 (7)
C1	0.5569 (3)	0.7596 (4)	0.15244 (17)	0.0346 (6)
C9	0.3539 (3)	0.2660 (4)	0.16787 (19)	0.0373 (7)
C10	0.2706 (3)	0.1959 (5)	0.1992 (2)	0.0473 (8)
H10A	0.2031	0.1522	0.1654	0.057*
C2	0.5186 (3)	0.8113 (4)	0.21675 (17)	0.0368 (7)
H2A	0.4474	0.8632	0.2079	0.044*
C6	0.6634 (3)	0.6868 (4)	0.16339 (19)	0.0424 (7)
H6A	0.6880	0.6540	0.1200	0.051*
C14	0.4558 (3)	0.3289 (4)	0.21888 (19)	0.0430 (7)
H14A	0.5119	0.3735	0.1983	0.052*
C12	0.3897 (4)	0.2572 (5)	0.3338 (2)	0.0511 (9)
01	0.4053 (3)	0.1381 (4)	0.04418 (16)	0.0717 (9)
C5	0.7330 (3)	0.6636 (5)	0.2409 (2)	0.0447 (8)
H5A	0.8051	0.6155	0.2490	0.054*
C13	0.4728 (3)	0.3244 (5)	0.3013 (2)	0.0513 (9)
H13A	0.5407	0.3668	0.3351	0.062*
C7	0.5443 (4)	0.8386 (6)	0.3646 (2)	0.0596 (10)
H7A	0.6009	0.8124	0.4138	0.089*
H7B	0.4771	0.7703	0.3621	0.089*
H7C	0.5275	0.9672	0.3618	0.089*
C11	0.2890 (3)	0.1918 (5)	0.2808 (2)	0.0544 (9)
H11A	0.2332	0.1446	0.3011	0.065*
O2	0.3571 (4)	0.4547 (4)	0.04248 (16)	0.0895 (12)
C15	0.4082 (5)	0.2539 (7)	0.4234 (3)	0.0796 (15)
H15A	0.4811	0.3042	0.4499	0.119*
H15B	0.4044	0.1299	0.4406	0.119*

# supplementary materials

H15C	0.3508	0.3255	0.4362	0.119*
C8	0.7761 (3)	0.6797 (6)	0.3905 (2)	0.0631 (11)
H8A	0.7398	0.7184	0.4293	0.095*
H8B	0.8441	0.7491	0.3972	0.095*
H8C	0.7943	0.5519	0.3977	0.095*
O3	0.2122 (3)	0.2272 (6)	0.0250 (2)	0.1043 (14)

# Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
S1	0.0502 (5)	0.0355 (4)	0.0360 (4)	0.0014 (3)	0.0084 (3)	0.0001 (3)
N1	0.0532 (16)	0.0414 (14)	0.0281 (12)	0.0017 (12)	0.0025 (11)	0.0021 (10)
C3	0.0493 (17)	0.0337 (15)	0.0296 (14)	0.0016 (13)	0.0064 (13)	0.0014 (11)
C4	0.0497 (18)	0.0320 (15)	0.0338 (15)	0.0037 (13)	-0.0010 (13)	0.0018 (12)
C1	0.0418 (16)	0.0298 (14)	0.0282 (13)	-0.0003 (11)	0.0039 (12)	0.0038 (10)
C9	0.0439 (17)	0.0309 (14)	0.0396 (15)	0.0010 (12)	0.0160 (13)	0.0003 (12)
C10	0.0421 (17)	0.0441 (18)	0.059 (2)	-0.0026 (14)	0.0198 (16)	0.0010 (15)
C2	0.0377 (15)	0.0361 (15)	0.0344 (15)	0.0023 (12)	0.0070 (12)	0.0029 (12)
C6	0.0503 (18)	0.0408 (17)	0.0369 (16)	0.0075 (14)	0.0138 (14)	-0.0016 (13)
C14	0.0473 (17)	0.0422 (17)	0.0421 (17)	-0.0079 (14)	0.0170 (14)	0.0006 (13)
C12	0.075 (3)	0.0411 (18)	0.0438 (18)	0.0071 (17)	0.0276 (18)	0.0044 (14)
01	0.111 (2)	0.0637 (18)	0.0456 (14)	0.0344 (17)	0.0310 (16)	0.0082 (13)
C5	0.0414 (17)	0.0413 (17)	0.0469 (18)	0.0109 (13)	0.0059 (14)	0.0006 (14)
C13	0.059 (2)	0.051 (2)	0.0405 (17)	-0.0064 (16)	0.0094 (16)	0.0003 (15)
C7	0.077 (3)	0.066 (2)	0.0380 (18)	0.007 (2)	0.0204 (18)	0.0005 (17)
C11	0.058 (2)	0.053 (2)	0.063 (2)	0.0037 (17)	0.0359 (19)	0.0102 (17)
O2	0.171 (4)	0.0434 (16)	0.0445 (15)	-0.0182 (19)	0.0171 (19)	0.0062 (12)
C15	0.121 (4)	0.080 (3)	0.045 (2)	0.016 (3)	0.036 (3)	0.008 (2)
C8	0.073 (3)	0.057 (2)	0.0400 (18)	0.0121 (19)	-0.0142 (18)	-0.0014 (16)
O3	0.057 (2)	0.180 (4)	0.062 (2)	-0.021 (2)	-0.0047 (16)	-0.005 (2)

# Geometric parameters (Å, °)

S1—O2	1.432 (3)	C6—C5	1.394 (5)
S1—O3	1.449 (3)	С6—Н6А	0.9300
S1—O1	1.461 (3)	C14—C13	1.400 (5)
S1—C9	1.790 (3)	C14—H14A	0.9300
N1—C1	1.480 (4)	C12—C13	1.402 (5)
N1—H1A	0.8900	C12—C11	1.405 (6)
N1—H1B	0.8900	C12—C15	1.523 (5)
N1—H1C	0.8900	C5—H5A	0.9300
C3—C4	1.405 (5)	C13—H13A	0.9300
C3—C2	1.406 (4)	С7—Н7А	0.9600
C3—C7	1.527 (5)	С7—Н7В	0.9600
C4—C5	1.404 (5)	С7—Н7С	0.9600
C4—C8	1.526 (4)	C11—H11A	0.9300
C1—C6	1.381 (4)	C15—H15A	0.9600
C1—C2	1.397 (4)	C15—H15B	0.9600
C9—C14	1.396 (5)	C15—H15C	0.9600

C9—C10	1.399 (4)	C8—H8A	0.9600
C10-C11	1.384 (5)	C8—H8B	0.9600
C10—H10A	0.9300	C8—H8C	0.9600
C2—H2A	0.9300		
O2—S1—O3	112.6 (2)	C9—C14—C13	119.5 (3)
O2—S1—O1	111.1 (2)	C9—C14—H14A	120.3
O3—S1—O1	111.3 (2)	C13—C14—H14A	120.3
O2—S1—C9	107.53 (15)	C13—C12—C11	117.6 (3)
O3—S1—C9	107.81 (19)	C13—C12—C15	121.2 (4)
O1—S1—C9	106.16 (15)	C11—C12—C15	121.2 (4)
C1—N1—H1A	109.5	C6—C5—C4	121.5 (3)
C1—N1—H1B	109.5	С6—С5—Н5А	119.3
H1A—N1—H1B	109.5	C4—C5—H5A	119.3
C1—N1—H1C	109.5	C14—C13—C12	121.4 (3)
H1A—N1—H1C	109.5	C14—C13—H13A	119.3
H1B—N1—H1C	109.5	C12—C13—H13A	119.3
C4—C3—C2	119.2 (3)	С3—С7—Н7А	109.5
C4—C3—C7	121.6 (3)	С3—С7—Н7В	109.5
C2—C3—C7	119.1 (3)	Н7А—С7—Н7В	109.5
C5—C4—C3	119.3 (3)	С3—С7—Н7С	109.5
C5—C4—C8	119.1 (3)	Н7А—С7—Н7С	109.5
C3—C4—C8	121.5 (3)	H7B—C7—H7C	109.5
C6—C1—C2	121.7 (3)	C10-C11-C12	121.7 (3)
C6—C1—N1	119.5 (3)	C10-C11-H11A	119.1
C2-C1-N1	118.8 (3)	C12—C11—H11A	119.1
C14—C9—C10	120.0 (3)	С12—С15—Н15А	109.5
C14—C9—S1	120.2 (2)	С12—С15—Н15В	109.5
C10—C9—S1	119.8 (3)	H15A—C15—H15B	109.5
C11—C10—C9	119.8 (3)	С12—С15—Н15С	109.5
C11—C10—H10A	120.1	H15A—C15—H15C	109.5
C9—C10—H10A	120.1	H15B-C15-H15C	109.5
C1—C2—C3	119.7 (3)	C4—C8—H8A	109.5
C1—C2—H2A	120.1	C4—C8—H8B	109.5
С3—С2—Н2А	120.1	H8A—C8—H8B	109.5
C1—C6—C5	118.5 (3)	С4—С8—Н8С	109.5
С1—С6—Н6А	120.8	H8A—C8—H8C	109.5
С5—С6—Н6А	120.8	H8B—C8—H8C	109.5

# Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H…A	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
N1—H1A···O1 <sup>i</sup>	0.89	2.13	2.854 (4)	137.
N1—H1A…S1 <sup>i</sup>	0.89	2.94	3.794 (3)	161.
N1—H1B…O1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.89	1.89	2.777 (4)	175.
N1—H1C···O2	0.89	2.01	2.773 (4)	143.
Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1$ , $-y+1$ , $-z$ ; (ii) $x$ , $y+1$ , $z$ .				





Fig. 2